

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/652,878	08/31/2000	Theodore M. Taylor	4372US (99-1187)	3858	
24247	7590 04/25/2002				
TRASK BRITT		EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 255	50		ELEV TIA	AOTHV V	
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			ELEY, TIMOTHY V		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3724		
		DATE MAILED: 04/25/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s) TAYLOR, THEODORE M.				
		09/652,878					
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Timothy V Eley	3724				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
THE I - Externanter - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r earne	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDO!	timely filed lays will be considered time om the mailing date of this of NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	oly. communication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 h						
2a) <u></u> —	,—	is action is non-final.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-39 is/are withdrawn from consideration							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6,9-15,17-19 and 21-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
,	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
• •	ion Papers			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
,—	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep						
44)[7]	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)[2]	The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>07 De</u>		i b)∐ disapproved	by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	ر المنظم					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 I ISC & 149	(a)-(d) or (f)				
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under goog. G.O.S. 1 (o	(d) (i)				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
* 5	application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	e) (to a provisiona	al application).			
)	• •					
Attachmen	t(s)	•					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No al Patent Application (PT				

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1,2,6,10,17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Meyer et al.
 - a. Meyer et al disclose an apparatus for polishing one or more layers of a semiconductor device structure, comprising: a polishing pad; a subpad support (23) located adjacent the polishing pad, the subpad support including a subpad retention element (40); and a subpad (22) removably secured to the subpad

Art Unit: 3724

support by way of the subpad retention element, the subpad being located between the subpad support and the polishing pad. See specifically figures 7 and 8.

b. Regarding claims 6 and 10, since applicant has not recited that the rigid structure is separate from the subpad, this feature is considered to be met by Meyer et al.

4. Claims 1,2,3,5,6,9,11,12,14,15,17-19, and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Kao et al.

a. Kao et al disclose an apparatus for polishing one or more layers of a semiconductor device structure, comprising: a polishing pad; a subpad support (252) located adjacent the polishing pad, the subpad support including a subpad retention element (groove); and a subpad (244,544) removably secured to the subpad support by way of the subpad retention element, the subpad being located between the subpad support and the polishing pad. See specifically figures 2a,2b,5a, and 5b.

b. Regarding claims 3 and 11, in figures 5a and 5b; the groove also functions as a clamp and/or lip.

c. Regarding claims 6 and 10, since applicant has not recited that the rigid structure is separate from the subpad, this feature is considered to be met by Kao et al.

12

Art Unit: 3724

d. Regarding claims 14 and 15, the pressure elements disclose in figure 2b function as subpad access elements since they move the subpad(252) away from the polishing pad.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4,7,8,16, and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy V Eley whose telephone number is 703-308-1824. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305- 3579 for regular communications and 703-305-3588 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3724

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Timothy V Eley
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3724

tve April 22, 2002